

CITY OF CANNON BEACH

NOTICE OF APPLICATION TO REMOVE TREES IN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY OR PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY

The City of Cannon Beach has made an application for the removal of two (2) red alders (*Alnus rubra*) and replanting of three (3) Pacific waxmyrtles (*Myrica californica*) on property owned by the City. The affected trees are located within the City Right-of-Way (ROW) near the intersection of View Point Terrace and Hemlock St., adjacent to tax lot number 51030DD00905.

The request for tree removal is made based on Municipal Code Section 17.70.020 A, "Removal of a tree which poses a safety hazard." Municipal Code Section 17.70.030 I, Additional Requirements, states for tree removal requests of trees located in a street right-of-way, or on property owned by the city, property owners within one hundred feet of the tree(s) requested for removal shall be notified of the proposed action. The notification shall also be posted on the city's website, and on the bulletin board at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In making its decision on such a tree removal request, the city shall consider comments received within ten days of the date of the mailing of the property owner notification. To be considered, comments must address the tree removal criteria of Section 17.70.020.

Correspondence regarding this matter should be mailed to: City of Cannon Beach, Attention: Tessa Schutt, PO Box 368, Cannon Beach, OR 97110. Further information regarding this application is available at City Hall, 163 E. Gower Street, Cannon Beach, or by calling, (503) 436-8048.

DATE NOTICE MAILED: 01/11/23

cc: Mayor Barb Knop
Councilor Nancy McCarthy
Councilor Brandon Ogilvie
Councilor Gary Hayes
Councilor Lisa Kerr



City of Cannon Beach Right-of-Way/Property Owned by the City Tree Removal Application

Property Location	: View Point Terrac	ce & S Hemlock St.	Map/Tax Lot Number:	Adj. to 51030DD00905
Phone:		Email: _		
Mailing Address: F	O Box 132, Cannor	n Beach, OR 97110		
Abutting Property				
Phone: (503) 436-	1581	Email: _	schutt@ci.cannon-beach.c	or.us
Mailing Address: _	P.O. Box 368, Cann	on Beach, OR 97110		
Applicant Name:	City of Cannon Be	each	-	
Please fill out this fo	orm completely.	Please type or print.		

The city shall issue a tree removal permit if one of the following criteria is met. Please circle the letter of the criteria that applies.

These criteria require a Tree Removal Report from an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Certified Arborist:

- A. You are constructing a structure or development approved and allowed by pursuant to Cannon Beach Municipal Code 17.70.030, which involves any form of ground disturbance; including required vehicular and utility access. **SEE ATTACHMENT A Removing Trees Because of Construction.**
- B. Removal of a tree for the health and vigor of surrounding trees.

These criteria require an ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by an ISA Certified Arborist:

C. The tree presents a safety hazard, where:

- 1. The condition or location of the tree presents either a foreseeable danger to public safety, or a foreseeable danger of property damage to an existing structure; and,
- 2. Such hazard or danger cannot reasonably be alleviated by pruning or treatment of the tree.
- D. The tree was damaged by storm, fire or other injury, which cannot be saved by pruning.

You must submit a tree removal permit with a reason if:

- E. The tree is dead.
- F. Tree removal is necessary to provide solar access to a solar energy system where pruning will not provide adequate solar access:
 - 1. The city may require documentation that a device qualifies for Oregon Department of Energy Solar Tax Credit, or other incentive for installation of solar devices offered by a utility.
 - 2. No tree measuring more than 24 inches in diameter shall be removed for solar access.
- G. Tree removal is for landscaping purposes, subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. The tree cannot exceed 10 inches in diameter.
 - 2. A landscape plan for the affected area must be submitted and approved by the City.
 - 3. The landscape plan must incorporate replacement trees for the trees removed. The replacement trees must be at least six feet in height or have a two-inch caliper; and,
 - 4. The City shall inspect the property one year after the approval of the permit to ensure the landscape plan has been implemented.

If your tree presents an immediate danger of collapse and if such potential collapse represents a clear and present hazard to persons or property, please contact the Community Development Director (CDD). If it is determined by the CDD that there is an immediate danger, then a tree removal permit is not required prior to tree removal. However, within seven days after the tree removal, the tree owner shall make application for an after-the-fact permit. Where a tree presents an immediate danger of collapse, a complete ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by a certified arborist is not required. Where a safety hazard exists, as defined by this subsection, the city may require the tree's removal. If the tree has not been removed after forty-eight hours, the city may remove the tree and charge the costs to the owner.

Last Edit: 9/27/19 Page 1 of 4

Attach a site plan showing the location and type of all trees on the property, including the trees to be removed. Indicate the location of replacement trees and the type. SEE ATTACHMENT B – Site Plan. Attach photos of the trees to be removed and mark the trees with ribbon.

Explain how the request meets one or more of the applicable criteria. Include the number and type of trees requested for removal. If appropriate, explain why pruning would not accomplish the same goal as tree removal.

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Application fee: \$50.00 for 1-4 trees;	\$100 for 5 or more trees
Note: The application fee is a nonrefundable fee that whether the removal request is approved or denied.	is due upon receipt of application,
Municipal Code Section 17.70.030.I. For tree removal r of-way, or on property owned by the city, property owner requested for removal shall be notified of the proposed posted on the city's website, and on the bulletin board a making its decision on such a tree removal request, the within ten days of the date of the mailing of the property comments must address the tree removal criteria of Se commented on the tree removal request shall be notified that decision in accordance with subsection H.	ers within one hundred feet of the tree(s) action. The notification shall also be at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In ecity shall consider comments received owner notification. To be considered, ction 17.70.020. Any person who has
Applicant Signature Trevor Mount	Date: 01/11/2023
I understand, as the applicant signature, the cost of the responsibility and I am responsible if an approved tree must comply with all City requirements included with the hours prior to the removal.	removal permit is violated in any way. I
Date: Fee Paid: \$ Receipt Numb	er: Permit #:
Date Notice Mailed: 01/11/2023 Date of 10-date	ay waiting period: 01/21/2023
Comments Received: Yes □ No □ App	olication is: ☐ Approved ☐ Denied
☐ Approved - Tree replacement required per Cannon EReplacement Policy.	Beach Municipal Code 17.70.040, Tree
☐ Approved with comments:	
By: Dat	e:
Decisions on the issuance of a tree removal permit may	he appealed to the Planning

Last Edit: 9/27/19 Page 2 of 4

Commission in accordance with Section 17.88.140 a, of the Municipal Code.



Treescapes Northwest Jeff Gerhardt, Consulting Arborist ISA Certified Arborist #PN-5541A

City of Cannon Beach, Public Works Department

Attn: Trevor Mount; Assistant Public Works Director mount@ci.cannon-beach.or.us

January 11, 2023

Hazard Tree Inspection - View Point Terrace

Per your request, I evaluated the hazard potential of 2 trees located in the View Point Terrace area. After visually examining the trees and site, I recommend 2 trees be removed and 3 trees be replanted. Please find the included site map, photograph, and ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form for reference.

Both trees are red alders (*Alnus rubra*) and are approximately 15" in DBH and 30' tall. The trees are located along the roadway and have been marked with orange "X's". Both trees are ailing from multiple wounds and are in a state of decline. For safety reasons, I recommend they both be removed. To avoid disturbing the slope, leave stumps in place and replant the site to reduce surface erosion. I recommend planting 3 Pacific waxmyrtles (*Myrica californica*).

Best regards,

Jeff Gerhardt

Red dots indicate location of 2 declining alders that I recommend be removed



Two alder trees along View Point Terrace that I advise be removed for safety



A Photographic Guide to the Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas **TREE HAZARD EVALUATION FORM** 2nd Edition**

Map/Location:	2 + 2 + 2 = 6 Failure + Size + Target = Hazard Potential of part Rating Rating
Owner: public X private unknown other Date:12/11/2023 Inspector: Jeff Gerhardt	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Immediate action needed
Date of last inspection:	Needs further inspection
TREE CHARACTERISTICS	Dead tree
Free #: 1 and 2 Species: red alder	
Form: generally symmetric minor asymmetry major asymmetry stump spro	out 🗆 stag-headed
Crown class: □ dominant □ co-dominant ☑ intermediate □ suppressed	
Live crown ratio: <u>60</u> % Age class : □ young □ semi-mature ☑ mature □ o	over-mature/senescent
Pruning history: □ crown cleaned □ excessively thinned ☑ topped □ crown raised □ pollar	ded □ crown reduced □ flush cuts □ cabled/braced
□ none □ multiple pruning events Approx. dates:	
Special Value: □ specimen □ heritage/historic □ wildlife □ unusual □ street tree □ scree	en □ shade □ indigenous □ protected by gov. agend
TREE HEALTH	
	owth obstructions:
Foliage density: 🗆 normal 🗆 sparse Leaf size: 🗆 normal 🗆 small 🗆 s	stakes □ wire/ties □ signs □ cables
Annual shoot growth: □ excellent 🗵 average □ poor Twig Dieback? 🕎 N 🔻 🗆 o	curb/pavement
Woundwood development: □ excellent □ average ☒ poor □ none □ o	other
Vigor class: □ excellent □ average ☑ fair □ poor	
Major pests/diseases:	
SITE CONDITIONS	
Site Character: ⊠ residence □ commercial □ industrial □ park □ open space □	natural 🗵 woodland\forest
Landscape type: □ parkway □ raised bed □ container ☒ mound □ lawn □ shr	rub border
rrigation: ⊠ none □ adequate □ inadequate □ excessive □ trunk wettled	
Recent site disturbance? Y N □ construction □ soil disturbance □ grade change	☐ line clearing ☐ site clearing
% dripline paved: 0% (0-25%) 25-50% 50-75% 75-100% P	Pavement lifted? Y N
% dripline w/ fill soil: 0% (10-25%) 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%	
% dripline grade lowered: 0% (0-25%) 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%	
Soil problems: □ drainage □ shallow ☑ compacted □ droughty □ saline □ alkaline □ acidic	: ☐ small volume ☐ disease center ☐ history of fail
□ clay □ expansive ⊠ slope <u>30</u> ∘ aspect: <u>west</u>	and stilling Chartie Codingenture C
Obstructions: lights signage line-of-sight view overhead lines undergro	
Exposure to wind: single tree below canopy above canopy cently exposed of the prevailing wind direction: S/SW occurrence of snow/ice storms never of snow.	
Prevailing wind direction: S/SW Occurrence of snow/ice storms □ never ⊠	School Liegularly
TARGET	
Use Under Tree: □ building ☒ parking ☒ traffic ☒ pedestrian □ recreation ☒ landscape	: □ hardscape □ small features □ utility lines
Can target be moved? Y N Can use be restricted? Y N	
<u> </u>	
Occupancy: □ occasional use ☑ intermittent use □ frequent use □ constant use	

P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130 CCB# 236534 Cell: 503-453-5571

www.trees capes northwest.com

	Mushroom/conk/bracket preser	•		
xposed roots: 🗆 severe		Undermined: 🗆 severe		
oot pruned: dista	nce from trunk Root area	affected:% B	uttress wounded: Y N WI	nen:
estricted root area: 🗆 sev	ere 🗵 moderate 🗆 low	Potential for root failure:	□ severe 🗵 moderate 🗆	low
EAN: 5 to 10 dea, from v	ertical 🗵 natural 🗆 unna	tural self-corrected	Soil heaving: Y N	
-	Roots broken Y N		con nearing.	
_		_		
ompounding factors:			Lean severity: 🗌 seve	re 🗆 moderate 🔀 low
ROWN DEFECTS: Indicate pr	esence of individual defects an	d rate their severity (s = seven	e, m = moderate, I = low)	
DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep		, m		
Codominants/forks		* 42		m e · ·
Multiple attachments		4		S
Included bark	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>m</u>		1
Excessive end weight Cracks/splits		s		**************************************
Hangers		S		1
Girdling		<u> </u>		
Wounds/seam		S		118 8 5 11 2 12
Decay		s	1	
Cavity		S		
Conks/mushrooms/bracket	·			1 2 2
Bleeding/sap flow				
Loose/cracked bark		S	 	
Nesting hole/bee hive		<u> </u>	 	m
Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants		 		1 4 m to
Cankers/galls/burls		 		
Previous failure			 	m
		:		
ee nart most likely to fail: To	os or entire tree		Failure notantial: 1 Jan. 0	- madium: 2 - biob: 4 - co:
				:- medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe
	annual biannual			i-75 cm); 4 - >30" (75 cm)
	- Target Rating = Hazard Rating		Target rating: 1 - occasiona	
+++	2 = 6		3 - frequent	use; 4 - constant use
AZARD ABATEMENT	·			
		☐crown clean ☐thin ☐r	aise canopy \square crown reduce	□ restructure □ shape
				•
able/Brace:	_	_	Inspect further: root crown	∟ decay ∟ aerial □ monitor
emove tree: (Y) N Rep	lace? (Y) N Move targe	t: Y (N) Other:		
fect on adjacent trees: 🗵	none		e jednosti pod	
otification.	nanager 🗵 governing agency	Date: 1/2023		
	managor Los governing agency	Date. TIZOZO		-
OMMENTS				
See report				
oee report				
Gee report				
оее героп				

P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130