
From: rosanne dorsey <Rosanne_Dorsey@beaverton.k12.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 2:59 PM
To: Katie Hillenhagen
Subject: Revised Liquification

Thank you so much. Here is the corrected version. Although I am sure everyone would know what I meant. I feel like I am typing terms I honestly barely understand but feel like I need to.

Dear Chair Newton and the Planning Commission,

I am the owner of the home adjacent to the wetlands, Rosey Dorsey, 1603 Forest Lawn Road. After the last meeting in June, I am sufficiently distressed about the security of my home's foundation as well as preserving the wetland. As I type this letter I am reminded of the passion and variety of opposition that appeared in the June meeting to this proposed development.

I adamantly oppose the development of the wetlands.

After our meeting in June there are some standout moments for many of us who are overwhelmed by the technicalities and devastation of the development. For example, I know many people were stunned to tears when they heard the comment that the canopy will all but disappear and we might as well just be "Lawn Road". It is a jarring image.

After the geological report, I am definitely concerned about my own foundation and security. Once the arborist revealed the shallow root system, the problems with the removal of the willows, the deep drilling etc., I began to question if I need to have you help and guide me to confirm that my own foundation will be ok? I believe my pilings are only 20 feet or less but I am not sure and wondering who I should ask to be sure my own home will be safe? I have spent a lot of time this past month learning about terms I had never heard in reference to the area my home is in: landslide, liquification, construction impact, compressible land, destruction of the canopy, semi endangered species, bioswales, 50 foot bedrock drilling,...oh my!

Perhaps this is a tiny personal issue as we were denied Hemlock access when we built our homes in 2005. That would have made a substantial difference in my view and the layout of our homes. There are 3 lots still that may also desire Hemlock access as well. In 2004, Ryan Snyder was going to grant me right of way and share a driveway, but we were turned down. I do know the real estate agent for the previous buyers in 2020 (who backed out of the sale as all of the previous offers have backed out in the past 15 years because building even a single home was impossible) and his understanding and the buyers understanding was absolutely no access from Hemlock. As others have been told. I guess I want to know what is different now? And why the setbacks are different now? Those same people now built a different home in Cannon Beach but they could have built a lovely single dwelling on the wetland with these same accommodations the current developer is requiring of the city.

In addition, the developer David Pletka, has contacted me directly with an ultimatum to fix the trickle from my property immediately and I am doubly worried. I want the Planning Commission to know that I have received just one letter from the city about connecting to the **first** proposed project that was ultimately rejected in January. I agreed to hire McEwen to connect at the time! Forgive me but I thought my water issue was also

deferred in January until the city made it's final assessment of the project. Unfortunately, if any other critical correspondence was sent it is possible I didn't receive it because Ms. La Bonte sent the original correspondence dated April 29, 2021 to an old address of mine, 4344 SW Hillside Drive. I know that Ms.LaBonte said that it is very common to repair these drainage issues and many letters are sent out every year to do this and I gather these relationships are pretty amicable and casual. I guess this may be true as there is a fair amount of correspondence between the builder and the city in 2021 working together to get the storm drain just right and get my connection as fast as possible to dry out the land. As the developer of the wetland, I would want that too!

For example, on September 14, 2021, there is an email from the city that states, "Obviously the benefit of doing it now is **we** could tell if the work helps dry out the lot the way you had hoped, and **we** could give the neighbor a specific time that she needed to be ready to have her work done (with McEwen I believe) so she could hook up to our storm system." I am still confused how my home was finally approved with this current drainage in the first place? Why didn't we just hook up to the city at the time my home was being built? I believe, and have been told, it may be because it is such a small amount of water? And perhaps the city originally felt it was acceptable due to the fact it was draining into a wetland and wetlands remain wet and it was draining into an area that should never be developed anyways? It seems that is what my paperwork possibly indicates as some boxes are checked and some are not? I am guessing you can see why I am now sufficiently confused! I do have some very very preliminary research on options for the trickle of water and will continue to search for the best solution but am worried about the ultimatum and time constraints by the developer and after teaching a full session of summer school for vulnerable teenagers, I will be unavailable most of August. What do you suggest, from your stance, is the best solution? Is there a city line to hook up to? As a teacher, I want to explore all options, including the most economical ones.

Thank you for your time and commitment to protecting not only the wetlands but an important piece of Cannon Beach history. Please protect these wetlands so the owners of homes on Forest Lawn Road will not have to petition to have our road's name changed to just LAWN ROAD.

Best,
Rosey Dorsey
1603 Forest Lawn Road
Cannon Beach, Oregon

I have attached a photo of the Elk that live in the wetlands to help bring us back to the cause.



Follow us!



The District prohibits discrimination and harassment based on any basis protected by law, including but not limited to, an individual's actual or perceived race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, national or ethnic origin, marital status, age, mental or physical disability, pregnancy, familial status, economic status, veteran status or because of a perceived or actual association with any other persons within these protected classes.

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential. They are intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you've received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by phone at 503-356-4500 and destroy the original message. Thank you.