A Photographic Guide to the Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas TREE HAZARD EVALUATION FORM 2nd Edition

Site/Address:				HAZARD RATING:
Map/Location:				
Owner: public				++ = Failure + Size + Target = Hazaı
Date: A				Potential of part Rating Ratin
				Immediate action needed
Arborist's Signature:				Needs further inspection Dead tree
				Dead fice
TREE CHARACTER	ISTICS			
				3.
Tree #:	Speci	es:		
				Spread:
	mmetric□ minor asymmet			□ stag-headed
Crown Class:	iominant □ co-	dominant □ inter	mediate 🗆 suppre	essed
Live crown ratio:%	Age Class: 🗆 ye	oung □ semi-mature	☐ mature ☐ over-i	mature/senescent
Pruning History:	rown cleaned 🛘 excessive	ely thinned \square topped \square	crown raised □ pollarded	☐ crown reduced ☐ flush cuts ☐ cabled/braced
□ r	none 🛘 multiple pruning e	vents Approx. dates:		
Special Value: D specime	en □ heritage/historic □ w	vildlife □ unusual □ stre	et tree □ screen □ shade	e □ indigenous □ protected by gov. agency
TREE HEALTH				
Vigor class: ☐ excellent Major pests/diseases: _ SITE CONDITIONS				
Site Character: ☐ reside Landscape type: ☐ park Irrigation: ☐ none ☐ ac Recent site disturbance? % dripline paved:	way □ raised bed □ co dequate □ inadequate [ntainer □ mound □ la □ excessive □ trunk we □ soil disturbance □ e	awn □ shrub border □ ettled grade change □ line clea	wind break
% dripline w/fill soil:	0% 10-25	% 25-50% 50-75%	6 75-100%	
% dripline grade lowered			6 75-100%	
•	-			☐ acidic ☐ small volume ☐ disease center ☐ history of fa
	clay □ expansive □ slop		***************************************	
	• •		_	nd utilities ☐ traffic ☐ adjacent veg. ☐
Exposure to wind:	single tree	py □ above canopy □	I recently exposed D wi	indward, canopy edge □ area prone to windthrow
Prevailing wind direction	1: Occi	irrence of snow/ice storr	ms □ never □ seldom	☐ regularly
TARGET				
				5
	• •		•	□ hardscape □ small features □ utility lines
Can target be moved?		use be restricted? Y		
Occupancy: occasion	ai use □ intermittent use	e □ frequentuse □ (constant use	

TREE DEFECTS

ROOT DEFECTS:								
Suspect root rot: Y N	Mushroom/conk/bracket pr	esent: Y N ID						
Exposed roots: severe								
Root pruned:				1:				
			: □ severe □ moderate □ low					
LEAN: deg. From			oil heaving: Y N					
Decay in plane of lean: Y N		Y N Soil cracking	: Y N					
Compounding factors:			Lean severity: ☐ severe	□ moderate □ low				
CROWN DEFECTS: Indicate presence of individual defects and rate their severity (s=severe, m=moderate, l=low)								
DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES				
Poor taper								
Bow, sweep								
Codominants/f orks								
Multiple attachments								
Included bark								
Excessive end weight								
Cracks/splits								
Hangers								
Girdling								
Wounds/seam								
Decay								
Cavity								
Conks/mushrooms/bracket								
Bleeding/sap flow								
Loose/cracked bark								
Nesting hold/bee hive								
Deadwood/stubs								
Borers/termites/ants								
Cankers/galls/burls								
Previous failure								
HAZARD RATING								
Tree part most likely to fall:			Failure potential: 1-low; 2-me	edium; 3-high; 4-severe				
Inspection period: annual		Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm	Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15-45 cm);					
Failure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - > 30" (75 cm)								
Target rating: 1 – occasional use; 2 – intermittent use;								
HAZARD ABATEMENT			3 – frequent t	use; 4 – constant use				
Prune:			e canopy □ crown reduce □ restri Inspect further: □ root crown □ de					
Remove tree: Y N	••	e Target: Y N O	ther:					
Effect on adjacent trees:		Data						
Notification: □ owner □ man	nager LI governing agency	Date:						
COMMENTS								