

## CITY OF CANNON BEACH

### NOTICE OF APPLICATION TO REMOVE TREES IN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY OR PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY

The City of Cannon Beach has made an application for the removal of one (1) Western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) on property owned by the City. The affected tree is located within the City Right-of-Way (ROW) on E. Chinook Ave., at tax lot 51032CC00358.

The request for tree removal is made based on Municipal Code Section 17.70.020 A, "Removal of a tree which poses a safety hazard." Municipal Code Section 17.70.030 I, Additional Requirements, states for tree removal requests of trees located in a street right-of-way, or on property owned by the city, property owners within one hundred feet of the tree(s) requested for removal shall be notified of the proposed action. The notification shall also be posted on the city's website, and on the bulletin board at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In making its decision on such a tree removal request, the city shall consider comments received within ten days of the date of the mailing of the property owner notification. To be considered, comments must address the tree removal criteria of Section 17.70.020.

Correspondence regarding this matter should be mailed to: City of Cannon Beach, Attention: Tessa Schutt, PO Box 368, Cannon Beach, OR 97110. Further information regarding this application is available at City Hall, 163 E. Gower Street, Cannon Beach, or by calling, (503) 436-8048.

DATE NOTICE MAILED: 04/13/23

cc: Mayor Barb Knop
Councilor Nancy McCarthy
Councilor Brandon Ogilvie
Councilor Gary Hayes
Councilor Lisa Kerr



## City of Cannon Beach Right-of-Way/Property Owned by the City Tree Removal Application

| Please fill out this form completely. Please type | pe or print.                                     |
|---|--|
| Applicant Name: City of Cannon Beach              | <u>.</u>   |
| Mailing Address: P.O. Box 368, Cannon Beach, C    | OR 97110   |
| Phone: (503) 436-1581                             | Email: schutt@ci.cannon-beach.or.us              |
| Abutting Property Owner Name: Kenneth Mah         | n; Jared Colton                                  |
| Mailing Address: 726 SW Regency Pl., Portland,    | , OR 97225; P.O. Box 1168, Oregon City, OR 97045 |
| Phone:  | Email:   |
| Property Location: E. Chinook Ave.                | Map/Tax Lot Number: 51032CC00358                 |

The city shall issue a tree removal permit if one of the following criteria is met. Please circle the letter of the criteria that applies.

These criteria require a Tree Removal Report from an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Certified Arborist:

- A. You are constructing a structure or development approved and allowed by pursuant to Cannon Beach Municipal Code 17.70.030, which involves any form of ground disturbance; including required vehicular and utility access. **SEE ATTACHMENT A Removing Trees Because of Construction.**
- B. Removal of a tree for the health and vigor of surrounding trees.

These criteria require an ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by an ISA Certified Arborist:

C. The tree presents a safety hazard, where:

1. The condition or location of the tree presents either a foreseeable danger to public safety, or a foreseeable danger of property damage to an existing structure; and,

Such hazard or danger cannot reasonably be alleviated by pruning or treatment of the tree.

D. The tree was damaged by storm, fire or other injury, which cannot be saved by pruning.

You must submit a tree removal permit with a reason if:

- E. The tree is dead.
- F. Tree removal is necessary to provide solar access to a solar energy system where pruning will not provide adequate solar access:
  - 1. The city may require documentation that a device qualifies for Oregon Department of Energy Solar Tax Credit, or other incentive for installation of solar devices offered by a utility.
  - 2. No tree measuring more than 24 inches in diameter shall be removed for solar access.
- G. Tree removal is for landscaping purposes, subject to the following conditions:
  - 1. The tree cannot exceed 10 inches in diameter.
  - 2. A landscape plan for the affected area must be submitted and approved by the City.
  - 3. The landscape plan must incorporate replacement trees for the trees removed. The replacement trees must be at least six feet in height or have a two-inch caliper; and,
  - 4. The City shall inspect the property one year after the approval of the permit to ensure the landscape plan has been implemented.

If your tree presents an immediate danger of collapse and if such potential collapse represents a clear and present hazard to persons or property, please contact the Community Development Director (CDD). If it is determined by the CDD that there is an immediate danger, then a tree removal permit is not required prior to tree removal. However, within seven days after the tree removal, the tree owner shall make application for an after-the-fact permit. Where a tree presents an immediate danger of collapse, a complete ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by a certified arborist is not required. Where a safety hazard exists, as defined by this subsection, the city may require the tree's removal. If the tree has not been removed after forty-eight hours, the city may remove the tree and charge the costs to the owner.

Last Edit: 9/27/19 Page 1 of 4

Attach a site plan showing the location and type of all trees on the property, including the trees to be removed. Indicate the location of replacement trees and the type. SEE ATTACHMENT B – Site Plan. Attach photos of the trees to be removed and mark the trees with ribbon.

Explain how the request meets one or more of the applicable criteria. Include the number and type of trees requested for removal. If appropriate, explain why pruning would not accomplish the same goal as tree removal.

| Application for PEO.   | 00 for 4 4 trans, \$400 for 5 or many trans   |
|--|---|
| Application fee: \$50.0  | 00 for 1-4 trees; \$100 for 5 or more trees   |
| <b>Note:</b> The application fee is a <b>nonrefu</b> whether the removal request is approve  | ndable fee that is due upon receipt of application, ed or denied.   |
| of-way, or on property owned by the city<br>requested for removal shall be notified of<br>posted on the city's website, and on the<br>making its decision on such a tree removes<br>within ten days of the date of the mailing<br>comments must address the tree removes | or tree removal requests of trees located in a street right-<br>y, property owners within one hundred feet of the tree(s) of the proposed action. The notification shall also be bulletin board at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In oval request, the city shall consider comments received g of the property owner notification. To be considered, val criteria of Section 17.70.020. Any person who has t shall be notified of the city's decision and may appeal ction H. |
| Applicant Signature Trevor Mount   | Date:   |
| responsibility and I am responsible if an  | e, the cost of the tree removal and cleanup is my full approved tree removal permit is violated in any way. I included with the approval, and I must notify the City 48-  |
| ************   | *****************   |
| Date: Fee Paid: \$   | Receipt Number: Permit #:   |
| Date Notice Mailed: 4/13/2023  | Date of 10-day waiting period: 4/23/2023  |
| Comments Received: Yes □ No □  | ☐ Application is: ☐ Approved ☐ Denied   |
| $\hfill \square$ Approved - Tree replacement require Replacement Policy.   | ed per Cannon Beach Municipal Code 17.70.040, Tree  |
| ☐ Approved with comments:  |   |
|  |   |
| Ву:  | Date:   |
| Decisions on the issuance of a tree rem  | noval permit may be appealed to the Planning  |

Last Edit: 9/27/19 Page 2 of 4

Commission in accordance with Section 17.88.140 a, of the Municipal Code.



# Treescapes Northwest Jeff Gerhardt, Consulting Arborist ISA Certified Arborist #PN-5541A

#### City of Cannon Beach, Public Works Department

Attn: Trevor Mount; Assistant Public Works Director mount@ci.cannon-beach.or.us

April 9, 2023

#### **Hazard Tree Inspection - Haystack Heights**

Per your request, I evaluated the hazard potential of 1 tree located within City Public Right of Way. After visually examining the tree and site on April 4th, I recommend 1 tree be removed. Please find the included site map, photograph, and ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form for reference.

The tree is a Western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) and is approximately 30" in DBH and 75' tall. The tree is south of the driveway at 3764 E Chinook and is located on City property. The tree exhibits a structural defect at approximately 15' off the ground. The tree has two codominant stems that appear to be poorly attached. To avoid a hazard to the nearby residence, I recommend the tree be removed. This tree would be a good candidate to leave as a habitat tree. The tree could be safely left at a stump height of approximately 20'. Replanting does not look to be a necessity on this forested site.

Best regards,

Jeff Gerhardt

#### Red dots indicate location of hemlock tree that I advise be removed



#### Double-stemmed hemlock that I recommend be removed for safety





| Site/Address: South of 3764 E Chinook (ROW)  | HAZARD RATING:   |
|--|--|
| Map/Location:  | $\frac{3}{\text{Failure}} + \frac{3}{\text{Size}} + \frac{4}{\text{Target}} = \frac{10}{\text{Hazard}}$  |
| Owner: public X private unknown other  | Potential of part Rating Rating  |
| Date: _4/5/2023Inspector: _Jeff Gerhardt   | Immediate action needed  |
| Date of last inspection:   | Needs further inspection   |
| TREE CHARACTERISTICS   | Dead tree  |
| Tree #: 1 Species: western hemlock   |  |
| DBH: 30"  # of trunks: 1   |  |
| Form:   generally symmetric   minor asymmetry   major asymmetry   stump sprou  | ut □ stag-headed   |
| Crown class: ☐ dominant ☒ co-dominant ☐ intermediate ☐ suppressed  | -  |
| Live crown ratio: 60 % Age class: □ young □ semi-mature ☑ mature □ ov  | ver-mature/senescent   |
| Pruning history: □ crown cleaned □ excessively thinned □ topped □ crown raised □ pollard   |  |
| □ none □ multiple pruning events Approx. dates:  |  |
| Special Value: □ specimen □ heritage/historic □ wildlife □ unusual □ street tree □ screen  | n 🗆 shade 🗀 indigenous 🗀 protected by gov. agen  |
| TREE HEALTH  |  |
|  | wth obstructions:  |
|  | takes □ wire/ties □ signs □ cables   |
| Annual shoot growth: □ excellent ⊠ average □ poor Twig Dieback? Y N □ c  | urb/pavement   |
| Woundwood development: □ excellent ☑ average □ poor □ none □ of  | ther   |
| Vigor class: □ excellent 図 average □ fair □ poor   | and the second s |
| Major pests/diseases:  |  |
| SITE CONDITIONS  |  |
| Site Character: ⊠ residence □ commercial □ industrial □ park □ open space □ o  | natural 🗵 woodland\forest  |
| Landscape type: ☑ parkway ☐ raised bed ☐ container ☐ mound ☐ lawn ☐ shru   | ub border  |
| Irrigation: ☑ none □ adequate □ inadequate □ excessive □ trunk wettled   |  |
| Recent site disturbance? Y N □ construction □ soil disturbance □ grade change □  | ☐ line clearing ☐ site clearing  |
| , u  | vement lifted? Y (N)   |
| % dripline w/ fill soil: (0%) 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%   |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$   |  |
|  |  |
| Soil problems: □ drainage □ shallow □ compacted □ droughty □ saline □ alkaline □ acidic  | □ small volume □ disease center □ history of fail  |
| Soil problems: □ drainage □ shallow □ compacted □ droughty □ saline □ alkaline □ acidic □ clay □ expansive □ slope ° aspect:   |  |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □  |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow   |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow   |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow<br>eldom □ regularly  |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow<br>eldom □ regularly  |
| Soil problems:   drainage   shallow   compacted   droughty   saline   alkaline   acidic   clay   expansive   slope   o aspect:   Obstructions:   lights   signage   line-of-sight   view   overhead lines   undergroue   Exposure to wind:   single tree   below canopy   above canopy   recently exposed   windergroue   windergrou | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow<br>eldom □ regularly  |
| Soil problems:   | und utilities □ traffic □ adjacent veg. □<br>vindward, canopy edge 図 area prone to windthrow<br>eldom □ regularly  |

P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130 CCB# 236534 Cell: 503-453-5571 www.treescapesnorthwest.com

| Poor taper Bow, sweep Codominants/forks S Multiple attachments S Included bark Excessive end weight Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling   |                         |
|--|-------------------------|
| Restricted root area:   severe   moderate   Slow   Potential for root failure:   severe   moderate   Slow    |                         |
| Decay   Deca   |                         |
| Decay in plane of lean:   N   Roots broken   Y   N   Soil cracking:   Y   N  |                         |
| Recay in plane of lean:   N   Roots broken   N   Soil cracking:   V   N  |                         |
| Compounding factors:   |                         |
| DEFECT ROOT CROWN TRUNK SCAFFOLDS BR. Poor taper Bow, sweep Codominants/forks S. Multiple attachments Included bark Excessive end weight S. Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Breverintes/ants S. Failure potential: 1 - low, 2 - medium; 3 - 18-30° (45-75 cm); 4 - 2, 3 - 18-30° (45-75 c |                         |
| DEFECT   ROOT CROWN   TRUNK   SCAFFOLDS   BR.  | ate 🔀 IOW               |
| Poor taper Bow, sweep Codominants/forks S Multiple attachments Included bark Excessive end weight Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Cavity Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/se hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  ###################################  |                         |
| Bow, sweep Codominants/forks S Included bark Excessive end weight S Excessive end weight S Excessive end weight S Gracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Cavity Mounds/seam Decay Cavity Mounds/seam Decay Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borsrs/termits/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  ### AZARD RATING  ### Area Hazard Rating ### Azard Rating ###  | ANCHES                  |
| Codominants/forks  Multiple attachments  Excessive end weight  Excessive end weight  Excessive end weight  S  Cracks/splits  Hangers  Girdling  Wounds/seam  Decay  Cavity  Conks/mushrooms/bracket  Bleeding/sap flow  Loose/cracked bark  Nesting hole/bee hive  Deadwood/stubs  Borers/termites/ants  Cankers/galls/burls  Frevious failure  ATAARD RATING  ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops rep art most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops  rep art most likely to fail: failure annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ailure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating  3 + 3 + 4 = 10  TAZARD ABATEMENT  Prune:   remove defective part   reduce end weight   crown clean   thin   raise canopy   crown reduce   restructure  |                         |
| Multiple attachments Included bark Excessive end weight S Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Cavity Mounds/seam Seams/remites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Size of part: 1 - 66" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 2 -  | 4 - 1 - 1 - 1           |
| Excessive end weight Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/searm Decay Cavity Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/bur/s Previous failure  HAZARD RATING Free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops Inspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ani); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 5 ani); 2 - 6-18" (15 ani); 2 - 6-18" (15 ani); 2 - 6-18" (15 ani); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 5                             |                         |
| Cracks/splits Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Cavity Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Sorers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Frevious failure HAZARD RATING ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops nspection period:annualbiannual other Size of part. 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ailure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating 3 + 3 + 4 = 10 1 - occasional use; 2 interm 3 - frequent use; 4 - constant 1 - cacasional use; 2 interm 1 - occasional use; 2 interm 1 - occasional use; 2 interm  |                         |
| Hangers Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Cavity m Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure HAZARD RATING Free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops respection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" |                         |
| Girdling Wounds/seam Decay Covity Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  HAZARD RATING free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 2 |                         |
| Wounds/seam  |                         |
| Decay Cavity m Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure HAZARD RATING ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ailure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating 3 + 3 + 4 = 10   | 8 7 T - 1 L L           |
| Conks/mushrooms/bracket Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  HAZARD RATING ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops respection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - ×  |                         |
| Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  ### AZARD RATING free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - 26" (15 cm); 3 - 26" (15 cm);                       |                         |
| Loose/cracked bark  Nesting hole/bee hive  Deadwood/stubs  Borers/termites/ants  Cankers/galls/burls  Previous failure  IAZARD RATING  free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops  nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ailure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating  3 + 3 + 4 = 10 Target rating: 1 - occasional use; 2 intermines and the previous failure and the previous failure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating  1  |                         |
| Nesting hole/bee hive Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  HAZARD RATING The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops The part most likely to fail: failure potential: 1 - low; 2 - medium; 3 - failure potential: 1 - low; 2 - medi |                         |
| Deadwood/stubs Borers/termites/ants Cankers/galls/burls Previous failure  HAZARD RATING  free part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ailure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating   |                         |
| Cankers/galls/burls   S     Previous failure     HAZARD RATING     Fee part most likely to fail:   failure of one or both tops   Failure potential: 1 - low; 2 - medium; 3 - nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 ani);                        | t., ,, ,                |
| Failure potential: 1 - low; 2 - medium; 3 - nspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18"                       |                         |
| HAZARD RATING    Tree part most likely to fail:   failure of one or both tops   Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 4 - × (15 cm); |                         |
| ree part most likely to fail: failure of one or both tops    Size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - medium; 3 - size of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 4 - xize of part: 1 - c6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 cm); 4 - xize of part: 1 - ccasional use; 2 interm 3 + 3 + 4 = 10    HAZARD ABATEMENT   |                         |
| Inspection period: annual biannual other Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm); 2 - 6-18" (15 allure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating = Hazard Rating  | historia de la companio |
| 3 - 18-30" (45-75 cm); 4 - ×   |                         |
| Target rating: 1 - occasional use; 2 interm 3 + 3 + 4 = 10 3 - frequent use; 4 - constant and the state of part   reduce end weight   crown clean   thin   raise canopy   crown reduce   restructure table/Brace:   Inspect further:   root crown   decay   attention   decay   attention   decay   attention   decay   attention   decay   de |                         |
| AZARD ABATEMENT  | nittent use;            |
| Prune:   remove defective part   reduce end weight   crown clean   thin   raise canopy   crown reduce   restructure   cable/Brace:   Inspect further:   root crown   decay   a   demove tree:   N   Replace?   Y   N   Move target:   Y   N   Other:   | int use                 |
| Actification: Owner Transager Soverning agency Date: 4/2023  |                         |
| Actification: Owner Transager Soverning agency Date: 4/2023  | ☐ shape                 |
| Remove tree: Y N Replace? Y N Move target: Y N Other:  Iffect on adjacent trees: 🗵 none 🗆 evaluate  Notification: □ owner □ manager ☒ governing agency Date: 4/2023  |                         |
| Indiction: Owner Imanager Indication: Owner Indi | - India                 |
|  | . · ·                   |
|  |                         |
| /Unititle 10   |                         |
|  |                         |
| See report   |                         |
|  |                         |
|  |                         |

P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130